Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/891,888	LACRAMPE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
Y.	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Venkataraman_Balasubramanian</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ralph Palo</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 August 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	nt's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Potential 112 second paragraph		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Potential 112 second parargraph rejection of claim 37 was discus examiner's amendment		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate red 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	by the examiner appears in f	Part II above.
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)